

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,134	07/15/2003	Mark Roby	2853	7844
7590 03/31/2005			EXAMINER	
TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP			GEHMAN, BRYÓN P	
150 GLOVER AVENUE NORWALK, CT 06856			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applicant	c)
			''' '	ľD
Office Action Summary		10/620,134	ROBY ET A	AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Bryon P. Gehm		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	cation appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresponde	nce address
THE MA - Extensite after SID - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commond for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) and for reply is specified above, the maximum state or reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how unication. of days, a reply within the statutory m ututory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application	rever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be conside SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. §	of this communication. 133).
Status				
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on 17 February 2004		
		2b)⊠ This action is non-fir	al.	
′=	ince this application is in condition	· —		to the merits is
c	osed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	•
Dispositio	n of Claims			
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) 1-4,6-8 and 10-19 is/are per solution) Of the above claim(s) 6-8 and 10 laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restrict	-19 is/are withdrawn from		
Applicatio	n Papers			
9)[] Th	ne specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10)∐ Th	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) ob	jected to by the Examiner.	•
Α	pplicant may not request that any objec	ction to the drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.8	5(a).
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including	·		* *
11)[11	ne oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note th	e attached Office Action of it	лт РТО-152.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have been rec documents have been rec of the priority documents h nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this Na 2(a)).	
Attachment(s	,	_		
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or lo(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other:	on (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/620,134

Art Unit: 3728

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species II, claims 1-4 in the paper filed

February 25, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all species

Page 2

have already been considered. This is not found persuasive because such in no way

indicates the various species to be patentably indistinct from each other, as required to

overcome a species requirement, and the restriction is maintained.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-4 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 7, "to maintain a predetermined

suture/pledget orientation" is indefinite, as no pledget is positively defined so as to

provide any orientation between a suture and a pledget.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

ŧ

Application/Control Number: 10/620,134

Art Unit: 3728

5. Claims 1-4 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Erickson (3,819,039). Claims 1-4 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zatarga (5,582,288). Each discloses an apparatus inherently capable of fixating a suture in a substantially perpendicular orientation relative to a pledget, the structure claimed comprising a block (10; 18; respectively) having at least one slit (22-25; 22) to engage a portion of a first end portion of a suture (at or near 13; top of 18 in the Figure) and at least a portion of a second end of the suture (at or near 14; bottom of 18 in the Figure) so as to maintain a suture/pledget orientation should a suture and pledget be secured thereto. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See *In re*

Page 3

As to claim 2, each discloses the at least one slit capable of maintaining a parallel relationship between end portions of the suture (the end portions being arbitrary).

Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

As to claim 3, each discloses multiple slits in the block.

As to claim 4, each discloses a first face (15; shown upper side), a second face (16; shown lower side) and a top face (11; containing the slits).

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since claims 1-4 do not include a suture nor a pledget, but

Art Unit: 3728

encompass structures absent a suture and pledget that could so act, the arguments relative to an arrangement and structure of the pledget and suture are not convincing.

Page 4

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. This application contains claims 6-8 and 10-19 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the paper of February 25, 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571)

Art Unit: 3728

272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 5:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dign R. Sel

Bryon P. Gehman **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3728

BPG